

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Work-family conflict and enrichment in nurses: between job demands, perceived organisational support and work-family backlash

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1621086> since 2017-03-28T18:48:57Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1111/jonm.12442

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is the authors pre-print version of the contribution published on:

Questa è la versione pre-print degli autori dell'opera:

Chiara Ghislieri, Paola Gatti, Monica Molino & Claudio G. Cortese (2016).
Work–family conflict and enrichment in nurses: between job demands,
perceived organisational support and work–family backlash. Journal of
Nursing Management (ahead-of-print), 1-11. DOI: 10.1111/jonm.12442

The definitive version is available at:

La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:

<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jonm.12442/full>

Abstract

Aim The present study investigates how work relationships (general perceived organizational support – POS – and specific work-family backlash) and job demands (workload and emotional dissonance) may interact with work-family conflict (WFC) and enrichment (WFE).

Background Despite the wide literature on the work-family interface, few studies on nursing profession have considered the role of job demands and organizational relationships, focusing on both the positive and negative side of work-family interface.

Method The study involved a sample of 500 nurses working in an Italian hospital. Hierarchical multiple regression analyses were used to test the hypotheses.

Results Analyses showed that WFC has a positive relationship with job demands and supervisor backlash, and a negative relationship with POS. WFE was found to have a negative relationship with job demands and a positive relationship with POS. No significant relationships were found between WFE and backlash.

Conclusion The study confirmed the importance of promoting a balance between job demands and resources in order to create favorable conditions for WFE and prevent WFC.

Implications for Nursing Management Findings suggest that it may be advisable for healthcare organizations to invest in measures at individual, team and organizational levels, specifically in training and counseling for nurses and supervisors.

Keywords: work-family conflict, work-family enrichment, perceived organizational support, work-family backlash, nurses.

Introduction

The number of studies on the work-family interface has increased over the past decades, following changes in demographics (more dual-earner or dual career couples and single-parent families) and in working conditions (more job insecurity and more blurring of boundaries between work and life; Ghislieri & Colombo 2014). As a result of these changes, workers are less able to handle tasks and demands from both work and family domains effectively. The issues of work-family conflict (WFC) and work-family enrichment (WFE) are central to understanding work dynamics in organizations and the relation among work and other life fields. This is especially true for the nursing profession (Cortese *et al.* 2010, Ghislieri *et al.* 2011), where scholars suggest that WFC is related to lower work satisfaction and higher turnover intentions, burnout, and health impairment (Grzywacz *et al.* 2006, van der Heijden *et al.* 2008, Cortese *et al.* 2010), while WFE is related to higher levels of professional commitment and lower intentions to leave the profession (Russo & Buonocore 2012, Tummers & Den Dulk 2013, Ghislieri *et al.* 2015).

This study involved a sample of Italian nurses to explore how work relationships may interact with WFC and WFE. Two faces of these relationships are considered: general perceived organizational support (POS) and specific work-family backlash. In addition, the effects of two of the most relevant job demands in the nursing profession, namely workload and emotional dissonance, are taken into account.

Theoretical background

Work-family conflict and enrichment in nurses

The work-family interface is defined as the process in which an individual's functioning in the work (or family) domain is influenced by pressures and resources from the family (or work) domain (Bakker *et al.* 2011). Among the theoretical models which address the work-family interface, the conflict perspective dominated for most of the 1980s and '90s, while

the enrichment perspective has become increasingly important in the last two decades (Ghislieri *et al.* 2011, Russo & Buonocore 2012).

The WFC model originates from role theory (Merton 1957) and from Goode's (1960) role strain hypothesis. WFC has been defined as: "a form of inter-role conflict in which the role pressures from the work and family domains are mutually incompatible in some respect. That is, participation in the work (family) role is made more difficult by virtue of participation in the family (work) role" (Greenhaus & Beutell 1985, p. 77). WFC may be due to time issues, but may also derive from different kinds of stressors or from incompatible behavioral demands. Scholars have indicated job demands as the main determinants of WFC (O'Driscoll *et al.* 2006, Molino *et al.* 2016). This study considers two specific job demands related to WFC in the literature: workload, a general type of demand consisting of having too much work to do and not enough time to do it (van Veldhoven & Beijer 2012), and emotional dissonance, a type of demand specific to people-oriented professions which refers to a discrepancy between felt and displayed emotions (Bakker & Heuven 2006).

Recently, scholars have focused their attention on the link between WFC and WFE. Enrichment is a process whereby one role enhances the quality of the other: "work-to-family enrichment occurs when work experiences improve the quality of family life, and family-to-work enrichment occurs when family experiences improve the quality of work life" (Greenhaus & Powell 2006, p. 73). Two mechanisms describe the enrichment process: the *instrumental* mechanism occurs when resources are shifted from one role to the other, with a direct instrumental effect that enhances functioning in the second role; the *affective* mechanism occurs when extensive resources from one role increase the positive affect within this role that, in turn, fosters the functioning in the other one (Greenhaus & Powell 2006).

Recently, research has indicated that WFE should be considered important for both employees and organizations (Molino *et al.* 2013). Existing research shows that WFE is positively associated with job-related (Boyar & Mosley 2007, Shockley & Singla 2011), family-related (van Steenbergen *et al.* 2007), and health-related outcomes (van Steenbergen & Ellemers 2009). Moreover, scholars have found that some personality traits, including extraversion and positive affectivity, can influence WFE (Wayne *et al.* 2004, Michel & Clark 2009), and that organizational support can provide several important antecedents of WFE (Carlson *et al.* 2006). For these reasons, it seems important for organizations to understand not only how to reduce WFC, but also how to promote WFE (Bakker *et al.* 2011, Molino *et al.* 2013).

Studies of the nursing profession have generally concentrated on WFC rather than WFE (Cortese *et al.* 2010). Simon *et al.* (2004) did fundamental work on this construct as part of the NEXT-Study. The study found high WFC scores in many of the European countries considered, with the highest being in Italy. Recent studies also found a high level of WFC among Italian nurses, and showed that WFC is significantly associated with burnout, sleep problems and presenteeism (Camerino *et al.* 2010), as well as with job satisfaction and turnover intentions (Battistelli *et al.* 2013).

Regarding studies of WFE in healthcare organizations, Tummers and Den Dulk (2013) identified relatively high levels of WFE in a sample of Dutch midwives. The authors demonstrated that work alienation and work pressure negatively influence WFE.

Russo and Bonocore (2012) examined the relationship between WFE and organizational- and professional-related outcomes, showing that high levels of WFE are related to lower intentions to leave the nursing profession.

Perceived organizational support and work-family backlash

In studies that have investigated the resources which can mitigate WFC or increase WFE, particular attention has been devoted to the formal and informal support that organizations can give their employees (Kossek *et al.* 2011). The term *family-friendly* is widely used to denote organizations that show they are supportive of employees' need to accommodate work and family responsibilities by offering benefits to help them manage multiple life roles (Ghislieri & Colombo 2014).

A number of studies have emphasized the importance of supportive management in reducing WFC (Cortese *et al.* 2010). In their survey of hospital employees, Odle-Dusseau *et al.* (2012) found that WFE significantly mediated the relationships between family supportive supervisor behaviors on the one hand, and organizational commitment, intention to leave, and supervisor ratings of organizational support performance on the other hand.

A recent study by Lembrechts *et al.* (2014) carried out to identify possible antidotes to WFC among hospital nurses found that organizational support, lack of workload and the absence of overtime hours can reduce WFC, whereas the use of work–family policies does not.

A construct that has been widely used to determine whether an organization is generally supportive is POS. Eisenberger *et al.* (1986), in their first definition of POS, suggested that employees develop a general perception about the degree to which organizations appreciate their contributions and care about their well-being. POS “is also valued as assurance that aid will be available from the organization when it is needed to carry out one’s job effectively and to deal with stressful situations” (Rhoades & Eisenberger 2002, p. 698).

Several studies investigated the relationship between POS and the work-family interface, intended either as WFC or as WFE. Regarding *conflict*, to give some examples, Casper *et al.* (2002) found that POS lessens the harmful effects of WFC on commitment when WFC was bidirectional (i.e., when it was high in both directions). Dixon and Sagas (2007) found that WFC partially mediated the relationship between POS and job satisfaction. In a more recent

study, Gurbuz *et al.* (2012) confirm that POS has a negative relationship with WFC (measured with Netemeyer *et al.*'s scale, 1996), while role overload does not have a mediating effect on the relationship between POS and WFC.

Regarding *enrichment*, it has been investigated the buffer effect of POS on the relationship between job performance on the one hand, and both WFE and WFC on the other hand (Witt & Carslon 2006). McNall *et al.* (2011) found that POS has a strong positive relationship with WFE. Furthermore, in highly supportive work environments, individuals reported high WFE regardless of the dispositional dimension (i.e., core self-evaluations). However, when POS was low, individuals high in core self-evaluations reported higher WFE than those low in that dimension.

While organizational support has attracted growing attention in the scientific literature, few studies have addressed work-family backlash. This expression refers to the opposition, resentment, animosity or annoyance that workers perceive or receive from their supervisors or co-workers as a result of their efforts to balance their work and family lives or their use of family-friendly benefits (Korabik & Warner 2009, Colombo & Ghislieri 2014). Perceived work-family backlash influences WFC and WFE, with an effect opposite to that of POS. To date, few studies have addressed the possible impact of this variable on issues associated with work-life balance (Ricotta *et al.* 2011).

Aim of the research

On the basis of the scientific literature discussed above, this study tests the following hypotheses:

H1 *Job demands* (H1a *workload*; H1b *emotional dissonance*) are positively related to WFC.

H2 *Work-family backlash* (H2a *supervisor backlash*; H2b *co-worker backlash*) is positively related to WFC.

H3 *Job resources* (POS) are negatively related to WFC.

H4 Job demands (H4a workload; H4b emotional dissonance) are negatively related to WFE.

H5 Work-family backlash (H5a supervisor backlash; H5b co-worker backlash) is negatively related to WFE.

H6 Job resources (POS) are positively related to WFE.

Method

Participants and data collection procedures

Participants are nurses working in a hospital in the North of Italy. The organization is divided into four working areas: medicine, surgery, intensive care, and maternal and child health.

A self-reported questionnaire was sent to the 942 nurses working in the hospital. The overall response rate was 55.1%: this data is moderately low but is in line with other studies on nursing (VanGeest & Johnson 2011). After data cleaning, 500 respondents remained in the final sample.

The study was authorized by the hospital board of directors. Participants signed an informed consent form which the researchers distributed along with the questionnaires. To guarantee anonymity, respondents returned questionnaires in drop-boxes provided by hospital management. A cover letter attached to the questionnaire described the measures taken to guarantee anonymity, the voluntary participation, and provided guidelines for filling out the questionnaire.

Of the 500 respondents, 84.00% were women with an average age of 44.09 years (*SD* 7.06); 64.20% had a high school diploma, while 22.60% held a bachelor's or master's degree. Mean job tenure was 18.93 years (*SD* 9.56), and most respondents had a full-time job (82.20%) with an open-ended employment contract (96.00%) for about 35.01 hours per

week (*SD* 5.17). Regarding working areas, 35.10% of respondents worked in intensive care, 33.30% in medicine, 23.00% in surgery, and 8.60% in maternal and child health.

Measures

All the measures used in this study have been adapted in Italian and/or used in previous Italian studies.

Work-family conflict (WFC) was assessed with the Italian version (Colombo & Ghislieri 2008) of the five-item scale developed by Netemeyer *et al.* (1996) using a six-point frequency scale (1 = never, 6 = always). An example item is “The amount of time my job takes up makes it difficult to fulfill family responsibilities”. Cronbach’s alpha of this scale was .89.

Work-family enrichment (WFE) was measured using three items (Ghislieri *et al.* 2011). An example item is “At work I feel a sense of accomplishment and this helps me to be a better family member” (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree). Cronbach’s alpha was .85

Workload was measured by four items taken from Bakker *et al.* (2004) and used in a previous Italian study (Molino *et al.* 2013). An example item is “How often do you have to work extra hard in order to reach a deadline?” (1 = never, 5 = always). Cronbach’s alpha was .79.

Emotional dissonance was assessed using four items (Zapf *et al.* 1999) used in a previous Italian study (Ghislieri *et al.* 2012). An example item is “Display positive emotions while feeling indifferent” (1 = never, 6 = always). Cronbach’s alpha was .84.

Perceived organizational support (POS) was assessed on a seven-point agree scale (1 = strongly disagree, 7 = strongly agree). Six items were used from an eight-item scale (Eisenberger *et al.* 1997; validated in Italian by Battistelli & Mariani 2011), because two of the original items presented lower correlations with the other items and would have

decreased Cronbach's alpha. An example item is "My organization really cares about my well-being". Cronbach's alpha was .87.

Supervisor backlash was assessed using six items (Ricotta *et al.* 2011). An example item is "Supervisors make negative comments if someone benefits from tools aimed at supporting work-family balance" (1 = disagree, 4 = agree). Cronbach's alpha was .91.

Co-worker backlash was assessed using six items (Ricotta *et al.* 2011). An example item is "Coworkers make negative comments if someone benefits from tools aimed at supporting work-family balance" (1 = disagree, 4 = agree). Cronbach's alpha was .90.

Data analyses

Descriptive analyses, internal reliability of the scales and correlations between variables were calculated using the PASW 18 statistical package. The software was also used to test the relationship between the variables throughout hierarchical regression analyses.

Specifically, the following variables were entered in the regression analysis: 1) at the first step, gender and working hours, as control variables; 2) at the second step, workload and emotional dissonance as job demands that are the main predictors of WFC and that can also be important in the enrichment process (Grzywacz & Marks 2000, Tement & Korunka 2015); 3) at the third step, POS as a job resource based on positive work relationships, mainly associated with enrichment; 4) at the fourth step, work-family backlash, which is an innovative dimension and for this reason it would be interesting to observe its role separately from the other predictors; we thus expect a low but significant relationship with the considered outcomes.

Results

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics, reliability and correlations among all variables.

Analysis shows positive correlations between WFC, job demands and backlash (especially supervisor backlash) and negative correlations between WFC and POS. WFC also presents a

low positive correlation with working hours per week. WFE shows negative correlations with job demands and backlash and positive correlation with POS.

insert Table 1 about here

Table 2 shows results of hierarchical multiple regression. The model with WFC as dependent variable (corrected R -squared .19) shows no relations with sex and working hours per week at the first step. The job demands considered here show a positive relation with WFC, especially emotional dissonance, at the second step. POS has a negative relation with WFC at the third step, and at the fourth step only supervisor backlash has a positive relation with WFC; no relations were found with co-worker backlash.

The model with WFE as dependent variable (corrected R -squared .18) shows no relations with sex and working hours per week at the first step. At the second step, the two job demands considered have a similar negative low relation with WFE. POS has a positive high relation with WFE at the third step and, at the fourth step, no relations were found with work-family backlash.

insert Table 2 about here

Discussion

The aim of this study was to explore how work relationships may interact with WFC and WFE in a sample of Italian nurses, with a particular focus on general POS and specific work-family backlash. The study also considered the relationships of WFC and WFE with two job demands, workload and emotional dissonance.

Regarding H1, results confirm that job demands are potential predictors of WFC, in line with other studies on this subject (O'Driscoll *et al.* 2006, van Veldhoven & Baijer 2012). In particular, emotional dissonance is important in work-to-family interactions: the effort involved in displaying emotions at work that differ from those that are really felt may cause

spillover effects in other life domains, confirming results that are also found for other professions (Emanuel *et al.* 2014).

H2 is partially confirmed. Results of multiple regression show that only supervisor backlash is related to WFC. It would thus appear that it is above all in the relationship with the supervisor that potentially problematic elements may arise when the need to balance work and family responsibilities is not given due consideration and attracts criticism and resentment, if not outright hostility (Korabik & Warner 2009, Colombo & Ghislieri 2014). Lastly, H3 is also confirmed: POS is negatively related to WFC (cf. Gurbuz *et al.* 2012).

Regarding WFE, H4 is confirmed: both the job demands (workload and emotional dissonance) are negatively related to WFE, in line with previous studies which found job demands as predictors of WFE in heterogeneous samples (Grzywacz & Marks 2000, Tement & Korunka 2015).

H5, on the other hand, is not confirmed: work-family backlash is not related to WFE. It thus seems that WFE is not affected by negative aspects of the relationship, but is heavily influenced by the support received in the organization. Few studies have considered this relationship so far, therefore this issue needs more investigation and discussion.

H6 is in fact confirmed: POS shows a strong, positive and significant relationship with WFE. This result is in line with the finding by McNall and colleagues (2011), who demonstrated that POS is a significant antecedent of WFE. Furthermore, the authors show that respondents who perceive high POS report higher levels of WFE regardless of other variables, such as the personality dimension of core self-evaluations. The latter variable, however, was significantly related to WFE at low and medium levels of POS. Since POS “serves an important socioemotional function” (Rhoades & Eisenberger 2002, p. 710) and has a strong positive relationship, for instance, with positive mood, we could hypothesize that this variable powers up the affective path to enrichment (Greenhaus & Powell 2006):

nurses who feel more supported by their organization perceive higher positive emotions toward their job which, in turn, allows them to perceive higher positive emotions in their family role.

Conclusions

The study addresses work-family balance in the nursing profession, considering both work-family conflict and work-family enrichment.

For WFC, the study confirms the important role of job demands, but also indicates that POS can have a direct impact on mitigating WFC (Gurbuz *et al.* 2012), whereas backlash, i.e., workers' perception that supervisors resent their efforts to balance work and family life, can potentially intensify WFC.

Regarding WFE, the role of POS appears to be crucial (McNall *et al.* 2011): it seems to enable people to see their jobs as an experience that generates resources which can also improve the quality of personal and family life. Though the study did not compare this element with formal aspects and concrete solutions, it nevertheless pointed to the importance of perceptions about the quality of the support received from the organization.

The study is thus a contribution to the literature in this area, as few studies to date have considered both conflict and enrichment among Italian nurses. However, it also has certain limitations. First, participants were nurses at the same hospital: a comparison with other hospitals, and also other regions, would be advisable in future research. Moreover, it is also possible that our findings have been influenced by cultural characteristics that are specific to Italy. For this reason, it could be instructive to replicate the study in other cultural contexts.

The cross-sectional research design relying on self-reported data, moreover, means that results may be affected by common method variance and an unequivocal interpretation of the causal relationships is thus not possible. Future work should combine self-reported data

with measures from other sources or other observers (e.g., supervisors and/or co-workers), as well as employ longitudinal designs.

Lastly, the relationships with supervisors and coworkers should be investigated in greater depth to determine how they are linked to work-family balance, and how they can both help and hinder it. Preliminary qualitative studies, particularly in-depth interviews, could also prove useful for this purpose.

Implications for nursing management

Action on the dimensions that the study found could mitigate work-family conflict and increase work-family enrichment (workload, emotional dissonance, supervisor backlash and perceived organizational support) should be taken at individual, team and organizational levels (see Table 3). Before describing these actions, it is important to underline that they do not always call for major financial outlays: targeted investments should also be made in initiatives focusing on the communicational, relational and cultural dimensions.

Actions at the individual level are mainly those aimed at reducing workload perceptions through the ability to manage the workload itself. The focus should be on perceptions, since reducing the quantity of work tasks is not really practicable nowadays, partly because of the hiring freeze caused by the contemporary economic crisis that increases duties, shifts and time pressure (Cortese 2013). In this regard, it is important to consider that having a higher autonomy and being more aware about their responsibilities, aims and priorities at work could help nurses in managing their job activities, decreasing workload perceptions (Zito *et al.* 2015).

Measures to reduce emotional dissonance can be taken at both individual and team level. In particular, the study's findings indicate that it is essential to devote attention to the emotional commitment and demands of the hospital setting where nurses exercise their profession. Individual training initiatives designed to help nurses develop coping skills and

gain an appropriate emotional distance from their job could contribute to lightening this burden. For the same purpose, small group counselling for actual teams should make members capable of giving mutual support (Pizam 2004). In particular, this support could be achieved by providing an opportunity to express the discomfort related to emotional dissonance during interactions with colleagues, gaining relief.

At both individual and team level, measures can also be taken to reduce supervisor backlash. In this respect, some efforts must be made to raise awareness of this problem among nursing directors and head nurses to ensure that they are not perceived as obstacles to work-life balance – involuntary or otherwise – as a result of inadequate communication with the nurses they manage. It is thus important to help supervisors engage in supportive behaviors that can be conducive to a family-friendly culture and serve as an additional resource for increasing WFE (Molino *et al.* 2013). To this end, teamwork training initiatives could also be accompanied by individual counseling, coaching, and mentoring (Ghislieri & Gatti 2012).

Lastly, as far as the organizational level is concerned, introducing work-family benefits and ensuring that employees are aware of them can be useful in increasing perceived organizational support. This will entail providing information and training on work-family balance, through counselling groups or hot lines, and developing solutions targeted to employees' expressed needs (Amstad *et al.* 2011).

insert Table 3 about here

References

Amstad F.T., Meier L.L., Fasel U., Elfering C. & Semmer N.K. (2011) A meta-analysis of work-family conflict and various outcomes with a special emphasis on cross-domain versus matching domain relations. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 16 (2), 151–169.

- Bakker A.B., Demerouti E. & Verbeke W. (2004) Using the job demands-resources model to predict burnout and performance. *Human Resource Management* 43 (1), 83–104.
- Bakker A.B. & Heuven E. (2006) Emotional dissonance, Burnout, and In-role performance among nurses and police officers. *International Journal of Stress Management* 13 (4), 423–440.
- Bakker A.B., Ten Brummelhuis L., Prins J.T. & van der Heijden F.M.M.A. (2011) Applying the Job Demands – Resources Model to the work-home interface: A study among medical residents and their partners. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 79 (1), 170–180.
- Battistelli A. & Mariani G. (2011) Supporto organizzativo: validazione della versione italiana della Survey of Perceived Organizational Support (versione a 8 item) [Organizational support: Validation of the Italian version of the Survey of Perceived Organizational Support (8-item version)]. *Giornale Italiano di Psicologia* 38 (1), 189–214.
- Battistelli A., Portoghese I., Galletta M. & Pohl S. (2013) Beyond the tradition: test of an integrative conceptual model on nurse turnover. *International Nursing Review* 60 (1), 103–111.
- Boyar S.L. & Mosley D.C. Jr (2007) The relationship between core self-evaluations and work and family satisfaction: The mediating role of work–family conflict and facilitation. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 71 (2), 265–281.
- Camerino D., Sandri M., Sartori S., Conway P.M., Campanini P. & Costa, G. (2010) Shiftwork, work-family conflict among Italian nurses, and prevention efficacy. *Chronobiology International* 27 (5), 1105–1123.

- Carlson D.S., Kacmar K.M., Wayne J.H. & Grzywacz J.G. (2006) Measuring the positive side of the work-family interface: Development and validation of a work-family enrichment scale. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 68 (1), 131–164.
- Casper W.J., Martin J.A., Buffardi L.C. & Erdwins C.J. (2002) Work–family conflict, perceived organizational support, and organizational commitment among employed mothers. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 7 (2), 99–108.
- Colombo L. & Ghislieri C. (2014) Organizzazione e conciliazione [Organization and conciliation]. In *Psicologia della conciliazione lavoro-famiglia [Psychology of work-family conciliation]* (C. Ghislieri & L. Colombo eds), pp. 31–42. Raffaello Cortina, Milano.
- Colombo L. & Ghislieri C. (2008) The work-to-family conflict: Between theories and measures. *TPM - Testing, Psychometrics, Methodology in Applied Psychology* 15 (1), 35–55.
- Cortese C.G. (2013) Predictors of intention to leave the nursing profession in two Italian hospitals. *Assistenza Infermieristica e Ricerca* 32 (1), 20–27.
- Cortese C.G., Ghislieri C. & Colombo L. (2010) Determinants of nurses' job satisfaction: The role of work–family conflict, job demand, emotional charge and social support. *Journal of Nursing Management* 18 (1), 35–43.
- Dixon M. & Sagas M. (2007) The relationship between organizational support, work family conflict, and the job–life satisfaction of university coaches. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* 78 (3), 236–247.
- Eisenberger R., Cummings J., Armeli S. & Lynch P. (1997) Perceived organizational support, discretionary treatment and job satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 82 (5), 812–820.

- Eisenberger R., Huntington R., Huntington S. & Sowa D. (1986) Perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 71, 500–507.
- Emanuel F., Molino M., Cortese C.G. & Ghislieri, C. (2014) Dalle richieste alle risorse lavorative per superare il conflitto lavoro-famiglia nei call center: differenze di genere [From work demands to work resources in order to overcome work-family conflict in call centres: gender differences]. *Counseling* 7 (3), 293–305.
- Ghislieri C. & Colombo L. (2014) Conciliazione lavoro-famiglia: Approcci teorici e costrutti [Work-family conciliation: Theoretical approaches and constructs]. In *Psicologia della conciliazione lavoro-famiglia [Psychology of work-family conciliation]* (C. Ghislieri & L. Colombo eds), pp. 3–30. Raffaello Cortina, Milano
- Ghislieri C. & Gatti P. (2012) Generativity and balance in leadership. *Leadership* 8 (3), 257–275.
- Ghislieri C., Molino M. & Gatti P. (2015) La relazione tra l’interfaccia lavoro-famiglia e l’intenzione di turnover: uno studio in un campione di infermieri italiani [The relationship between work-family interface and turnover intention: A study in a sample of Italian nurses]. *La Medicina del Lavoro*, in press.
- Ghislieri C., Martini M., Gatti P. & Colombo L. (2011) The “bright side” of the work-family interface: A brief work-family enrichment scale in a sample of health professionals. *TPM - Testing, Psychometrics, Methodology in Applied Psychology* 18 (4), 211–230.
- Ghislieri C., Ricotta S. & Colombo L. (2012). Il conflitto lavoro-famiglia nel contesto del call center [Work-family conflict in call center]. *La Medicina del Lavoro* 103 (4), 276–287.
- Goode W.J. (1960) A theory of role strain. *American Sociological Review* 25 (4), 483–496.

- Greenhaus J.H. & Beutell N. (1985) Sources of conflict between work and family roles. *Academy of Management Review* 10 (1), 76–88.
- Greenhaus J.H. & Powell G.N. (2006) When work and family are allies: A theory of work-family enrichment. *Academy of Management Review* 31 (1), 72–92.
- Grzywacz J.G., Frone M.J., Brewer C.S. & Kovner C.T. (2006) Quantifying work-family conflict among registered nurses. *Research in Nursing & Health* 29 (5), 414–426.
- Grzywacz J.G. & Marks N.F. (2000) Reconceptualizing the work–family interface: An ecological perspective on the correlates of positive and negative spillover between work and family. *Journal of occupational health psychology* 5 (1), 111–126.
- Gurbuz S., Turunc O. & Celik M. (2012) The impact of perceived organizational support on work-family conflict: Does role overload have a mediating role? *Economic and Industrial Democracy* 34 (1), 145–160.
- Korabik K. & Warner M. (2009) *The impact of coworkers on work-to-family enrichment and organizational outcomes*. Paper presented at the 3rd IESE International Conference on Work and Family, Barcelona, Spain.
- Kossek E.E., Pichler S., Bodner T. & Hammer L.B. (2011) Workplace social support and work-family conflict: A meta-analysis clarifying the influence of general and work-family-specific supervisor and organizational support. *Personnel Psychology* 64 (2), 289–313.
- Lembrechts L., Dekocker V., Zanoni P. & Pulignano V. (2014) A study of the determinants of work-to-family conflict among hospital nurses in Belgium. *Journal of Nursing Management*. doi: 10.1111/jonm.12233
- McNall L.A., Masuda A.D., Rhoades Shanock L. & Nicklin J.M. (2011) Interaction of Core Self-Evaluations and Perceived Organizational Support on Work-to-Family Enrichment. *The Journal of Psychology* 145 (2), 133–149.

- Merton R.K. (1957) The Role-Set: Problems in Sociological Theory. *The British Journal of Sociology* 8 (2), 106–120.
- Michel J.S. & Clark M.A. (2009) Has it been affect all along? A test of work-to-family and family-to-work models of conflict, enrichment, and satisfaction. *Personality and Individual Differences* 47 (3), 163–168.
- Molino M., Bakker A.B. & Ghislieri C. (2016) The role of workaholism in the job demands-resources model. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping*, 29(4), 400–414.
DOI:10.1080/10615806.2015.1070833
- Molino M., Ghislieri C. & Cortese C.G. (2013). When work enriches family-life: the mediational role of professional development opportunities. *Journal of Workplace Learning* 25 (2), 98–113.
- Netemeyer R.G., McMurrian R. & Boles J.S. (1996) Development and validation of work-family conflict and family-work conflict scales. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 81 (4), 400–410.
- O’Driscoll M., Brough P. & Kalliath T. (2006) Work-family conflict and facilitation. In *Work-life balance. A psychological perspective* (F. Jones, R.J. Burke & M. Westman eds), pp. 117–142. Psychology Press, Hove, East Sussex.
- Odle-Dusseau H., Britt T.W. & Greene-Shortridge, T.M. (2012) Organizational work-family resources as predictors of job performance and attitudes: The process of work-family conflict and enrichment. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 17 (1), 28–40.
- Pizam, A. (2004) Are hospitality employees equipped to hide their feelings?. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 23 (4), 315–316.
- Rhoades L. & Eisenberger R. (2002) Perceived Organizational Support: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 87 (4), 698–714.

- Ricotta S., Ghislieri C., Colombo L. & Piccardo C. (2011) Supporto organizzativo, work-family backlash e conflitto lavoro-famiglia nel personale infermieristico. [Organizational support, work-family backlash and work-family conflict in professional nurses] *Risorsa Uomo* 3, 309–323.
- Russo M. & Buonocore F. (2012). The relationship between work-family enrichment and nurse turnover. *Journal of Managerial Psychology* 27 (3), 216–236.
- Shockley K.M. & Singla N. (2011) Reconsidering work-family interactions and satisfaction: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Management* 37 (3), 861–86.
- Simon M., Kümmerling A. & Hasselhorn H.M. (2004) Work-home conflict in the European nursing profession. *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health* 10 (4), 384-391.
- Tement S. & Korunka C. (2015) The moderating impact of types of caregiving on job demands, resources, and their relation to work-to-family conflict and enrichment. *Journal of Family Issues* 36 (1), 31–55.
- Tummers L.G. & Den Dulk L. (2013) The effects of work alienation on organisational commitment, work effort and work-to-family enrichment. *Journal of Nursing Management* 21 (6), 850–859.
- van der Heijden B.I.J.M., Demerouti E., Bakker A.B. & The NEXT Study Group coordinated by Hans-Martin Hasselhorn (2008) Work-home interference among nurses: reciprocal relationships with job demands and health. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 62 (5), 572–584.
- VanGeest J. & Johnson T.P. (2011) Surveying nurses: Identifying strategies to improve participation. *Evaluation & the health professions*, 0163278711399572.

- van Steenbergen E.F. & Ellemers N. (2009) Is managing the work–family interface worthwhile? Benefits for employee health and performance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 30 (5), 617–642.
- van Steenbergen E.F., Ellemers N. & Mooijaart A. (2007) How work and family can facilitate each other: Distinct types of work-family facilitation and outcomes for women and men. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 12 (3), 279.
- van Veldhoven M.J.P.M. & Beijer S.E. (2012) Workload, Work-to-Family Conflict, and Health: Gender Differences and the Influence of Private Life Context. *Journal of Social Issues* 68 (4), 665–683.
- Wayne J.H., Musisca N. & Fleeson W. (2004) Considering the role of personality in the work-family experience: Relationships of the big five to work-family conflict and facilitation. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 64 (1), 108–130.
- Witt L.A. & Carlson D.S. (2006) The work–family interface and job performance: Moderating effects of conscientiousness and perceived organizational support. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 11 (4), 343–357.
- Zapf D., Vogt C., Seifert C., Mertini H. & Isic A. (1999) Emotion work as a source of stress: The concept and development of an instrument. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology* 8 (3), 371–400.
- Zito M., Cortese C.G. & Colombo L. (2015) Nurses' Exhaustion: the Role of Flow at Work Between Job Demands and Job Resources. *Journal of Nursing Management*. Article first published online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jonm.12284/abstract>.

Table 1. Item means, item standard deviations and correlations among the study variables.

	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>9</i>
1. Sex (1 = F)	-	-	-								
2. Working hours per week	35.01	5.17	-.22**	-							
3. WFC	17.76	5.95	.06	.10*	(.89)						
4. WFE	8.39	2.98	.03	-.06	-.28**	(.85)					
5. Workload	14.12	2.83	-.04	.08	.28**	-.21**	(.79)				
6. Emotional dissonance	13.67	4.72	.03	.08	.36**	-.20**	.29**	(.84)			
7. Supervisor backlash	13.38	5.22	.02	-.03	.30**	-.19**	.21**	.28**	(.91)		
8. Co-worker backlash	13.74	5.09	-.06	-.12*	.19**	-.09*	.05	.12**	.44**	(.90)	
9. POS	20.62	7.86	.02	-.15**	-.28**	.36**	-.18**	-.19**	-.32**	-.14**	(.87)

Note. * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$. Cronbach's Alpha on the diagonal.

Table 2. Results of hierarchical regression; dependent variable = work-family conflict.

	<i>WFC</i>			
	<i>Step 1</i>	<i>Step 2</i>	<i>Step 3</i>	<i>Step 4</i>
Sex (1 = F)	.04	.03	.03	0.4
Working hours per week	.11	.05	.03	0.6
Workload	—	.15**	.13**	.12*
Emotional dissonance	—	.29***	.25***	.22***
POS	—	—	-.18***	-.13*
Co-worker backlash	—	—	—	.14*
Supervisor backlash	—	—	—	.08
R^2	.01	.13	.16	.19
ΔR^2	.01	.12	.03	.03
ΔF	2.18	29.55***	13.41***	7.21**

	<i>WFE</i>			
	<i>Step 1</i>	<i>Step 2</i>	<i>Step 3</i>	<i>Step 4</i>
Sex (1 = F)	.04	.05	.04	.04
Working hours per week	-.09	-.05	-.01	-.01
Workload	—	-.15**	-.11*	-.10*
Emotional dissonance	—	-.17**	-.11*	-.10*
POS	—	—	.36***	.34***
Co-worker backlash	—	—	—	-.04
Supervisor backlash	—	—	—	-.02
R^2	.01	.07	.18	.18
ΔR^2	.01	.06	.12	.00
ΔF	2.16	14.00***	58.07***	.55

Note. * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$. $N = 597$. R^2 = R-squared. ΔR^2 = delta R-squared. ΔF = delta F value.

Table 3. Three levels of nursing management interventions and related expected outcomes.

	Intervention	Target variables	Expected outcomes
Individual level	Higher autonomy in job activities	Decreasing workload perceptions	Decrease of WFC Increase of WFE
	More awareness about own working priorities, tasks, and goals		
	Individual training initiatives	Decreasing emotional dissonance	Decrease of WFC Increase of WFE
	Counseling and coaching for nursing directors and head nurses	Decreasing supervisor backlash	Decrease of WFC
Team level	Teamwork counseling	Decreasing emotional dissonance	Decrease of WFC Increase of WFE
	Teamwork training initiatives	Decreasing supervisor backlash	Decrease of WFC
Organizational level	Introducing work-family benefits	Increasing POS	Decrease of WFC Increase of WFE